

AD _____
(Leave blank)

Award Number:
W81XWH-07-1-044€

Á
TITLE:
A Psychophysiologic Study of Weakening Traumatic Combat Memories
With Post-Reactivation Propranolol

Á
PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR:
Roger K. Pitman, M.D.

Á
CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION:
Massachusetts General Hospital
Boston, MA, 02114

REPORT DATE:
June 2010

Á
TYPE OF REPORT:
Annual

Á
PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: (Check one)

☒ Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				<i>Form Approved</i> OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE 30-06-2010		2. REPORT TYPE Annual		3. DATES COVERED 1 JUN 2009 - 31 MAY 2010	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE A Psychophysiologic Study of Weakening Traumatic Combat Memories With Post-Reactivation Propranolol				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER W81XWH-07-1-0440	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Roger K. Pitman, M.D. Email: roger_pitman@hms.harvard.edu				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Massachusetts General Hospital Boston, MA 02114				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT We have studied 21 subjects as of the end of this report period, with four drop-out and 17 brought to completion. We will continue to recruit subjects during a one-year, no-cost extension. The study medication code has not been broken. Hence, there are no scientific findings yet.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS Stress disorders, post-traumatic; reconsolidation; propranolol; imagery, psychotherapy; psychophysiology (all MeSH terms)					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 6	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Introduction.....	5
Body.....	5
Key Research Accomplishments.....	6
Reportable Outcomes.....	6
Conclusion.....	6
References.....	NA
Appendix (Table)	7

INTRODUCTION:

The objective of this project is to test whether the β -adrenergic blocker propranolol, given following combat memory reactivation, results in a significantly greater weakening of traumatic memories than propranolol alone, supporting the proposition that this weakening is due to pharmacological blockade of memory reconsolidation, rather than non-specific actions of propranolol. We hypothesize that subjects who undergo script preparation for the combat event(s) that caused their PTSD, followed by (post-reactivation) propranolol, will show significantly smaller psychophysiologic responses during script-driven imagery testing a week later, indicative of weakening of the emotional memory, compared to those who receive (non-reactivation) propranolol two days prior to combat script preparation. Subjects will be randomly assigned to one of two groups: post-reactivation propranolol or non-reactivation propranolol. Subjects randomized to the non-reactivation propranolol group will receive a “test” dose of propranolol, whereas subjects randomized to the post-reactivation propranolol group will receive placebo. Two days later, all subjects will return for an approximate 15-30 minute “script preparation” session, at which time they will describe the details of their traumatic combat event(s). Subjects randomized to the post-reactivation propranolol group will then receive propranolol, whereas subjects randomized to the non-reactivation propranolol group will receive placebo. Scripts will be composed portraying each subject’s personal combat events in their own words. Subjects will return to the psychophysiology laboratory one week and six months later. During each of these visits, heart rate, skin conductance, and corrugator electromyogram responses during will be recorded during script-driven imagery of personal combat events. The hypothesis predicts that at each time period, the physiologic responses of the post-reactivation propranolol group will be significantly smaller than those of the non-reactivation propranolol group.

BODY:

After a prolonged process that entailed obtaining IRB approval from the Massachusetts General Hospital, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and the USAMRMC Office of Research Protections (ORP), the PI finally received approval to begin recruiting subjects on March 10, 2008. i.e., 10 months after the project’s start date. The lengthy time it took to gain this approval was outside the PI’s control. An extra month was required to implement the recruitment procedures, which could not begin until final ORP approval had been obtained.

Thus, we have now had 24 months to recruit subjects. The Statement of Work calls for a recruitment rate of approximately 1.67 subjects per month. According to this rate, the project should have been able to recruit 40 subjects during this period. In actuality, we have succeeded in recruiting 21 subjects. Hence, our recruitment rate has been 53% of that projected. Of these 21 subjects, 4 four dropped out and 17 have been successfully brought to completion. The study medication code has not yet been broken.

Because of the slower than expected recruitment, we requested and have been granted a one-year, no-cost extension. Our plan is to continue to recruit subjects for several more months, then break the blind, analyze the results, and prepare meeting presentation(s) and publication(s).

KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

In each instance except the four subjects that dropped out, the procedure went as planned, and usable data were obtained in all 17 remaining subjects.

REPORTABLE OUTCOMES:

The medication code will not be broken until the conclusion of the study. Hence there are not yet any reportable outcomes, and there is not expected to be any until the study's conclusion and data analysis.

CONCLUSION:

None yet

REFERENCES

None

APPENDICES/SUPPORTING DATA:

The table in the next page presents the data obtained in subjects to date.

Key for Table:

VAMC=Studied at Manchester, NH VA Medical Center; MGH=Studied at Massachusetts General Hospital

CAPS: Pre-intervention total score on Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale

Other Axis I: Current comorbid mental disorders

Pprb: Physiological posterior probability. This is the study's primary outcome measure. It represents a composite of the four individual psychophysiological responses during script-driven imagery of the traumatic event at Day 8 (i.e., one week after script preparation accompanied by either propranolol or placebo). The four individual measures are: MNSC=mean skin conductance response, MNHR=mean heart rate response, MNCOR=mean corrugators electromyogram response; MNFR=mean frontalis electromyogram response.

Sbj#	Site	Age	Race	Sex	CAPS	Other Axis I	PPrb	MNSC	MNHR	MNCOR	MNFR
1	VAMC	56	White, non-Hisp	M	85	None					
2	VAMC	47	White, non-Hisp	M	68	GAD	0.37	0.78	-2.86	-0.13	0.02
3	VAMC	25	White, non-Hisp	M	52	None	0.3	0.00	2.21	-0.75	0.66
4	VAMC	32	White, non-Hisp	M	65	Major Depression	0.42	0.53	2.06	0.97	0.27
5	VAMC	26	White, non-Hisp	M	55	Major Depression, OCPD	0.32	0.05	2.81	-0.37	-0.48
6	VAMC	24	White, non-Hisp	M	60	None	0.87	3.37	14.04	0.72	-0.01
7	MGH	64	White, non-Hisp	M	12	None	0.24	-0.34	-1.54	-0.49	0.50
8	MGH	27	White, non-Hisp	M	68	None	0.38	0.36	2.16	0.24	-0.04
9	MGH	24	White, non-Hisp	M	81	Panic Disorder, Simple Phobia, Social Phobia, Bipolar	0.31	0.10	-0.46	0.14	0.13
10	MGH	56	White, non-Hisp	M	42	Panic Disorder, Simple Phobia, Major Depression	0.31	0.05	0.48	-0.09	-0.08
11	MGH	59	White, non-Hisp	M	68	None	0.31	0.09	-0.11	-0.05	0.37
12	MGH	45	White, non-Hisp	M	45	Major Depression, Dysthymia	0.76	2.53	6.44	1.78	0.34
13	MGH	57	White, non-Hisp	M	83	Social Phobia	0.65	-0.004	4.16	10.74	2.46
14	MGH	24	White, non-Hisp	M	24	Obsessive Compulsive Disorder (very mild)	0.71	1.65	9.34	3.03	2.89
15	MGH	25	White, non-Hisp	M	71	Major Depression, Alcohol Abuse/Dependence	0.7	0.76	6.75	7.72	4.73
16	MGH	26	White, non-Hisp	M	24	None
17	MGH	59	White, non-Hisp	M	50	Panic Disorder, Social Phobia, Major Depression
18	MGH	34	White, non-Hisp	M	83	Major Depression, OCPD, Substance Abuse
19	MGH	40	White, non-Hisp	M	51	None	0.38	0.08	1.33	1.96	1.16
20	MGH	25	White, non-Hisp	M	84	Major Depression	0.39	0.54	1.11	0.17	0.11
21	MGH	39	White, non-Hisp	M	33	None	0.1	-2.74	1.03	2.23	-0.85